College of Letters and Science
2002 Annual Survey and Report of Departmental Assessment Practices

Please return to Dean Certain by May 24, 2002
c/o Associate Academic Planner Elaine Klein
307-E South Hall, 1055 Bascom Mall, Madison WI 53706

Department Name: Languages and Cultures of Asia

I. Assessment Plan

Q1. The department has an assessment plan for the undergraduate program.
   Y N

Q2. The department has an assessment plan for the graduate program.
   Y N

Q3. The assessment plan/s is/are linked to articulated outcome goals for your majors.
   Y N

Please identify the types of tools in the assessment plan(s); if possible, indicate the academic years in which they have been or are intended to be employed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools used to directly assess student learning:</th>
<th>Undergraduate Program</th>
<th>Graduate Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Exams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Exams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capstone Courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embedded Testing</td>
<td>Course Exams/Papers</td>
<td>Course Exams/Papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Portfolios</td>
<td>To be discussed ’02-’03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theses, Dissertations</td>
<td>Honors thesis only</td>
<td>Required for degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Evaluations</td>
<td>Oral Proficiency Tests</td>
<td>OPI’s; Oral defense of culminating work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre &amp; Post Testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools used to indirectly assess student learning:</th>
<th>Undergraduate Program</th>
<th>Graduate Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Interviews</td>
<td>Exit Surveys only</td>
<td>Exit surveys only; Interview may be constituted in oral defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumni Surveys</td>
<td>Implemented Summer ’02</td>
<td>Implemented Summer ’02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5. Of the tools used to directly assess student learning, which provide the most useful information? For content courses, course exams and papers. For language courses, OPI’s are useful as well as testing for reading and writing.

Q6. Of the tools used to directly assess student learning, which provide the least useful information? NA.

Q7. Of the tools used to indirectly assess student learning, which provide the most useful information? Not able to determine at this point without having retrieved surveys.

Q8. Of the tools used to indirectly assess student learning, which provide the least useful information? Unable to answer at this point.

Q9. Please elaborate on any of the responses given above (please attach additional pages as needed).
II. Assessment Processes

Q10. Responsibility for assessment has been assumed by

X -- an individual
X -- a committee

If responsibility is delegated to an individual, this person is:

o the chair / tenured faculty / untended faculty / academic staff / short term staff (other) Grad Student PA

If responsibility is delegated to a committee, this group is:

Specially constituted to address assessment of student learning
Part of the Curriculum Committee
Part of the Executive Committee
Part of the Undergraduate/Graduate Education Committee
Other: ____________________________

Y N
Y N
Y N

Q11. The department has requested funds from the University Assessment Council (UAC) to help the department assess student learning.

Y N

If "yes", did the UAC award the department funds?

Y N

Were those funds useful?

Y N

Q12. The department has sought professional assistance to conduct assessment.

Y N

If "yes", from whom has the department sought professional assistance? (For example, the LEAD Center, the Office of Quality Improvement, the UW Survey Center, etc.)

The Office of Quality Improvement

III. Additional Information

1. Please attach a brief description of any changes in curriculum, advising, or procedures that were the result of your assessment findings so we may include this information in our annual report to the Provost. If your department has received funds from the University Assessment Council, you may attach the assessment report submitted to the UAC in compliance with its funding support requirements.

2. In the interest of streamlining our requests for assessment information, please identify an assessment contact person: Terri Wipperfurth, LCA Assessment Project Supervisor, twipperf@facstaff.wisc.edu

3. Do you have any suggestions for workshops or learning opportunities in the area of student outcomes assessment?

Thank you for taking time to complete this report.

Please return this report by May 25, 2002.